UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,762	02/08/2001	Marcio Marc Abreu	P66081US1 4160	
	7590 05/13/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH	STREET N.W.	NAJARIAN, LENA		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/778,762	9/778,762 ABREU, MARCIO MARC		MARC	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		LENA NAJA	ARIAN	3626		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If NO period for reply is specified ab Failure to reply within the set or exte Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	FROM THE MAILING under the provisions of 37 CFR ng date of this communication. ove, the maximum statutory perioded period for reply will, by state than three months after the ma	DATE OF THI 1.136(a). In no ever od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 3)□ Since this application	unication(s) filed on <u>25</u> 2b)∐ This in condition for allow with the practice unde	his action is no vance except f	- n-final. or formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4)	n(s) is/are withd allowed. g is/are rejected. objected to.	rawn from con				
<u> </u>		i e				
· ·	n is/are: a) ☐ a est that any objection to the neet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b)[ne drawing(s) be ection is required	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3) Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 09/778,762 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

(abstract of Fu):

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed 2/25/08. Claims 66, 68, and 69 have been amended. Claims 1-65, 67, and 70-79 are cancelled. Claims 66, 68, and 69 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 66 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu et al. (4,803,625) in view of Madsen et al. (5,853,377).(A) Referring to claim 66, Fu discloses a device for acquiring data comprising

an input device for acquiring and transmitting biological data of an individual at different points in time over an extended time frame (col. 5, line 53 – col. 6, line 12 and col. 3, lines 23-27 of Fu);

a processor, said processor receiving the biological data of the individual from the input device (Fig. 2 and col. 5, line 53 – col. 6, line 12 of Fu); and a memory connected to the processor and storing a program for controlling operation of the processor (abstract and Fig. 2 of Fu);

Application/Control Number: 09/778,762

Art Unit: 3626

said processor operative with the program in the memory to receive the biological data of the individual and to analyze the biological data, and said biological data being compared by the processor to constantly updated medical information transmitted to the processor to determine if the biological data received is of a predetermined degree of a life-threat to the individual, the processor providing a warning of the predetermined degree of threat to life determined by the comparison performed by the processor (Fig. 10-12, abstract, and col. 3, lines 46-62 of Fu).

Fu does not expressly disclose a communication link to the individual to send one of different levels of medical emergency warning to the individual.

Madsen discloses a communication link to the individual to send one of different levels of medical emergency warning to the individual (abstract and col. 4, lines 17-32 of Madsen).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned features of Madsen within Fu. The motivation for doing so would have been for the patient to be immediately notified of the status of his health (abstract of Madsen).

(B) Referring to claim 69, Fu discloses wherein the input device includes a blood pressure measuring device (col. 7, lines 13-16 of Fu).

Insofar as the claim recites "one of," it is immaterial whether or not the other elements are also disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,762

Art Unit: 3626

4. Claim 68 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu et al. (4,803,625) in view of Madsen et al. (5,853,377), and further in view of Coli et al. (6,018,713).

Page 4

(A) Referring to claim 68, Fu and Madsen do not expressly disclose wherein the input device transmits data to a distributed computer network.

Coli discloses wherein the input device transmits data to a distributed computer network (see col. 9, lines 4-40 of Coli).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the aforementioned feature of Coli within Fu and Madsen. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide aggregated data across multiple sources (col. 18, lines 28-36 of Coli).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 66, 68, and 69 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LENA NAJARIAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7072. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, C. Luke Gilligan can be reached on (571) 272-6770. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,762 Page 6

Art Unit: 3626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/L. N./ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 In 5-1-08

/Robert Morgan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3626